Finding the one-loop soliton solution of the short-pulse equation by means of the homotopy analysis method
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Abstract

The homotopy analysis method is applied to the short-pulse equation in order to find an analytic approximation to the known exact solitary upright-loop solution. It is demonstrated that the approximate solution agrees well with the exact solution. This provides further evidence that the homotopy analysis method is a powerful tool for finding excellent approximations to nonlinear solitary waves.
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1 Introduction

The solution of nonlinear problems by analytic techniques is often rather difficult. Recently, a powerful analytic method for nonlinear problems, the so-called homotopy analysis method (HAM), has been developed by Liao [1]. The HAM has been applied successfully to many nonlinear problems in engineering and science, such as applications in heat transfer [2], solving the generalized Hirota–Satsuma coupled KdV equation [3], in heat radiation [4], finding solitary-wave solutions for the fifth-order KdV equation [5], finding the solutions of generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation [6], finding the root of nonlinear equations [7], finding the solitary-wave solutions for the Fitzhugh–Nagumo equation [8], boundary-layer flows over an impermeable stretched plate [9], unsteady boundary-layer flows over a stretching flat
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plate [10], exponentially decaying boundary layers [11], a nonlinear model of combined convective and radiative cooling of a spherical body [12], and many other problems (see [13–26], for example).

The short-pulse equation (SPE), namely

\[ u_{xt} = u + \frac{1}{6}(u^3)_{xx}, \]  

(1.1)

models the propagation of ultra-short pulses in silica optical fibers [27]. It has been shown that the short-pulse equation has a Lax pair that is of the Wadati-Konno-Ichikawa type [28,29]. Because of this result, it is not surprising that the SPE has a loop-soliton solution. This solution was found in [30,31] together with several other forms of solution.

The aim of this paper is to apply the HAM to the SPE in order to find an analytic approximation to the solitary upright-loop solution which was given in exact form by Parkes [31].

In Section 2 we give the exact solution for the solitary upright-loop solution. In Section 3 we formulate the HAM for finding an approximate analytic solution for the one-loop soliton wave. A brief conclusion is given in Section 4.

2 Exact solitary upright-loop solution

The SPE has several families of periodic travelling-wave solutions that may be expressed in terms of Jacobian elliptic functions with nonlinearity parameter \( m \) [31]. In the limit \( m \to 1 \), a single upright or inverted loop-soliton is obtained [31, Section 3.2]. Here we discuss the upright-loop solution.

In order to seek travelling-wave solutions of (1.1) we assume that \( u(x,t) := U(\eta) \), where \( \eta := x + ct - x_0 \), and \( c \) and \( x_0 \) are constants. In this case (1.1) becomes

\[ cU_{\eta\eta} = U + \frac{1}{6}(U^3)_{\eta\eta}. \]  

(2.1)

It is found that the solitary upright-loop solution is such that \( U \) is an implicit function of \( \eta \). This solution may be given in parametric form by

\[ U = 2\sqrt{c} \text{sech}\tau, \quad \eta = \sqrt{c} (2 \tanh\tau - \tau), \]  

(2.2)

where \( c > 0 \), and the parameter \( \tau \) is defined by

\[ 2\sqrt{c} \frac{d\eta}{d\tau} := U^2 - 2c. \]  

(2.3)
3 The HAM for the upright-loop solitary wave

The loop solitary-wave solution to (2.1) for $U$ as a function of $\eta$ is multi-valued. An approximation to this solution cannot be found directly by the HAM. In order to proceed, we need to change the independent variable to $\tau$ so that the solitary-wave solution is a smooth-hump wave for $U$ as a function of $\tau$. Hence we use (2.3) in (2.1) to obtain

$$2c U_{\tau\tau} + U(U^2 - 2c) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

It is convenient to introduce a new dependent variable $w(\tau)$ defined by

$$u(x, t) = U(\tau) := a w(\tau), \quad (3.2)$$

where $a$ is the amplitude, and $w(\tau)$ is a solitary smooth-hump wave of unit amplitude such that $w(0) = 1$, $w'(0) = 0$, $w \to 0$ as $|\tau| \to \infty$, and $w(\tau) = w(-\tau)$. Here, the prime denotes denotes differentiation with respect to $\tau$. Substitution of $U$ given by (3.2) into Eq. (3.1) gives

$$2c w'' + w (a^2 w^2 - 2c) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Due to the assumed symmetry of the solitary wave, in the HAM we consider $w(\tau)$ only for $\tau \geq 0$. Hence the appropriate boundary conditions on $w$ for use in the HAM are

$$w(0) = 1, \quad w'(0) = 0, \quad w(+\infty) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

The aim is to use the HAM to find analytic approximations to $w(\tau)$ and $a$. Then $\eta(\tau)$ can be found by integrating (2.3) to obtain

$$\eta(\tau) = \frac{a^2}{2\sqrt{c}} \int_0^\tau w^2(\tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau} - \sqrt{c} \tau. \quad (3.5)$$

From $w(\tau)$ and $\eta(\tau)$ we can find an approximation to the solitary loop wave given by $w$ as an implicit function of $\eta$. The aim is to show that this approximation and the approximation to $a$ are in good agreement with the known exact results. For simplicity, from here on we set $c = 1$.

According to Eq. (3.3) and the boundary conditions (3.4), the solitary-wave solution can be expressed in the form

$$w(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} d_m e^{-m\tau}, \quad (3.6)$$

where the $d_m \ (m = 1, 2, \ldots)$ are coefficients to be determined. According to the rule of solution expression denoted by (3.6) and the boundary conditions (3.4), it is natural to choose

$$w_0(\tau) = 2e^{-\tau} - e^{-2\tau} \quad (3.7)$$
as the initial approximation to \( w(\tau) \).

We define an auxiliary linear operator \( \mathcal{L} \) by

\[
\mathcal{L}[\phi(\tau; p)] = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} - 1 \right) \phi(\tau; p),
\]

(3.8)

with the property

\[
\mathcal{L}[C_1 e^{-\tau} + C_2 e^{\tau}] = 0,
\]

(3.9)

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are constants. This choice of \( \mathcal{L} \) is motivated by (3.6) and the later requirement that (3.16) should contain only one non-zero constant, namely \( C_1 \).

From (3.3) we define a nonlinear operator

\[
\mathcal{N}[\phi(\tau; p), A(p)] := 2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \tau^2} \right) + \phi \left( A^2(p) \phi^2 - 2 \right),
\]

(3.10)

and then construct the homotopy

\[
\mathcal{H}[\phi(\tau; p), A(p)] = (1 - p)\mathcal{L}[\phi(\tau; p) - w_0(\tau)] - h p \mathcal{N}[\phi(\tau; p), A(p)],
\]

(3.11)

where \( h \) is a nonzero auxiliary parameter. Setting \( \mathcal{H}[\phi(\tau; p), A(p)] = 0 \), we have the zero-order deformation equation

\[
(1 - p)\mathcal{L}[\phi(\tau; p) - w_0(\tau)] = h p \mathcal{N}[\phi(\tau; p), A(p)],
\]

(3.12)

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
\phi(0; p) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial \phi(\tau; p)}{\partial \tau} \bigg|_{\tau=0} = 0, \quad \phi(+\infty; p) = 0,
\]

(3.13)

where \( p \in [0, 1] \) is an embedding parameter. When the parameter \( p \) increases from 0 to 1, the solution \( \phi(\tau; p) \) varies from \( w_0(\tau) \) to \( w(\tau) \), and \( A(p) \) varies from \( a_0 \) to \( a \), where \( a_0 \) is the initial value of the wave amplitude. If this continuous variation is smooth enough, the Maclaurin’s series with respect to \( p \) can be constructed for \( \phi(\tau; p) \) and \( A(p) \), and further, if these two series are convergent at \( p = 1 \), we have

\[
w(\tau) = w_0(\tau) + \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} w_m(\tau), \quad a = a_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} a_m,
\]

where

\[
w_m(\tau) = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m \phi(\tau; p)}{\partial p^m} \bigg|_{p=0}, \quad a_m = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m A(p)}{\partial p^m} \bigg|_{p=0}.
\]

For brevity, we define the vectors

\[
\overrightarrow{w}_k = \{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_k\}, \quad \overrightarrow{a}_k = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k\}.
\]
Differentiating Eqs. (3.12) and (3.13) \(m\) times with respect to \(p\), then setting \(p = 0\), and finally dividing by \(m!\), we obtain the \(m\)th-order deformation equation

\[
\mathcal{L}[w_m(\tau) - \chi_m w_{m-1}(\tau)] = h R_m(\overrightarrow{w}_{m-1}, \overrightarrow{a}_{m-1}), \quad (m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)
\]  

(3.14)

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
w_m(0) = 0, \quad w'_m(0) = 0, \quad w_m(\infty) = 0,
\]

(3.15)

where \(R_m\) is defined as

\[
R_m = 2w''_{m-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} (a_j a_{m-n-j-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left( w_{n-i} \sum_{l=0}^{i} w_l w_{i-l} \right) \right) - 2w_{m-1}.
\]

The general solution of Eq. (3.14) is

\[
w_m(\tau) = \hat{w}_m(\tau) + C_1 e^{-\tau} + C_2 e^{\tau},
\]

(3.16)

where \(C_1\) and \(C_2\) are constants and \(\hat{w}_m(\tau)\) is a particular solution of Eq. (3.14). Using (3.6), we have \(C_2 = 0\). The unknowns \(C_1\) and \(a_{m-1}\) are governed by

\[
\hat{w}_m(0) + C_1 = 0, \quad \hat{w}_m'(0) - C_1 = 0.
\]

Thus, the unknown \(a_{m-1}\) is obtained by solving the linear algebraic equation

\[
\hat{w}_m(0) + \hat{w}_m'(0) = 0,
\]

and thereafter \(C_1\) is given by

\[
C_1 = -\hat{w}_m(0).
\]

(3.17)

In this way, we derive \(w_m(\tau)\) and \(a_{m-1}\) for \(m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\), successively. At the \(M\)th-order approximation, we have the analytic solution of Eq. (3.3), namely

\[
w(\tau) \approx W_M(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} w_m(\tau), \quad a \approx A_M = \sum_{m=0}^{M} a_m.
\]

(3.18)

The auxiliary parameter \(h\) can be employed to adjust the convergence region of the series (3.18) in the homotopy analysis solution. By means of the so-called \(h\)-curve, it is straightforward to choose an appropriate range for \(h\) which ensures the convergence of the solution series. As pointed out by Liao [1], the appropriate region for \(h\) is a horizontal line segment.

Our solution series contain the auxiliary parameter \(h\). We can choose an appropriate value of \(h\) to ensure that the two solution series converge. We can investigate the influence of \(h\) on the convergence of \(a\) by plotting the curve of \(a\) versus \(h\), as shown in Fig. 1. Clearly, \(a \approx 2\) which agrees with the expected value \(2\sqrt{c}\) with \(c = 1\).

Generally, it is found that as long as the series solution for the amplitude \(a\) is convergent, the corresponding the series solution for \(w(\tau)\) is also convergent. For
instance, our analytic solution converges, as shown by the residual error in Fig. 2. The series solution for the amplitude is convergent, and the corresponding series for \( w(\tau) \) and the approximation for the upright loop \( U(\eta) \) are also convergent. For instance, when \( \bar{h} = -0.6 \), our analytic solution converges, as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.

![Fig. 1: The curves of the wave amplitude \( a \) versus \( \bar{h} \) for the 10th-order approximation.](image)

![Fig. 2: The residual error for Eq. (3.3) for the 10th-order approximation. Solid curve: \( \bar{h} = -0.7 \); dotted curve: \( \bar{h} = -0.6 \); dashed curve: \( \bar{h} = -0.5 \).](image)

The value of the amplitude is shown in Table 1. The so-called homotopy-Padé technique (see [1]) is employed, which greatly accelerates the convergence. Clearly, the amplitude converges to the exact value 2.
Fig. 3: The analytic approximation for $w(\tau)$ when $h = -0.6$ and the exact solution $w(\tau) = \text{sech}(\tau)$. Solid curve: exact solution; symbols: 10th-order approximation.

Fig. 4: The analytic approximation for $U(\eta)$ when $h = -0.6$ and the exact solution (2.2). Solid curve: exact solution; symbols: 10th-order approximation.

| Table 1: Results for $[m, m]$ Homotopy-Padé approach |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| **Order of approximation**    | **$[m, m]$** | **$a$** |
| 2                             | [1,1] | 2.00512 |
| 4                             | [2,2] | 2.00015 |
| 6                             | [3,3] | 2.00001 |
| 8                             | [4,4] | 2.00000 |
| 10                            | [5,5] | 2.00000 |
We have applied the homotopy analysis method (HAM) to the short-pulse equation (1.1) to obtain an analytic approximation to the known upright-loop solitary-wave solution as given in [31]. The HAM gives excellent agreement with the known solution. The HAM provides us with a convenient way to control the convergence of approximation series; this is a fundamental qualitative difference between the HAM and other methods for finding approximate solutions. The example in this paper gives further confirmation of the power of the HAM to solve complicated nonlinear problems.
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