High quality electron beam acceleration by ionization injection in laser wakefields with mid-infrared dual-color lasers
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For the laser wakefield acceleration, suppression of beam energy spread while keeping sufficient charge is one of the key challenges. In order to achieve this, we propose bichromatic laser ionization injection with combined laser wavelengths of 2.4 μm and 0.8 μm for wakefield excitation and triggering electron injection via field ionization, respectively. A laser pulse at 2.4 μm wavelength enables one to drive an intense acceleration structure with a relatively low laser power. To further reduce the requirement of laser power, we also propose to use carbon dioxide as the working gas medium, where carbon acts as the injection element. Our three dimensional particle-in-cell simulations show that electron beams at the GeV energy level with both low energy spreads (around one percent) and high charges (several tens of picocoulomb) can be obtained by use of this scheme with laser peak power totaling sub-100 TW.

PACS numbers: 52.65.Rr, 41.75.Jv, 52.38.Kd, 41.85.Ar

I. INTRODUCTION

Ever since its invention, the laser wakefield accelerator (LWFA) has been considered as one of the most promising candidates of the next generation of accelerators1. Compared with conventional radio-frequency accelerators, a laser wakefield accelerator has the advantage of several orders higher acceleration gradient, meanwhile currently it has the drawbacks of relatively poor beam qualities. Great progresses has been made over the past years2–9. Nevertheless, further improvement of the beam quality including the charge, peak energy, energy spread, emittance and stability is still one of the top priorities in the community in order to make the LWFA suitable for applications.

To improve the output beam quality, efforts have been made on the control of different stages of the accelerator including the injection stage, the phase-space-rotation stage and/or the beam-loading stage10, etc. The injection stage improvement with a variety of injection schemes is direct11–13. Among the variety of injection schemes, the ionization injection is found to be simple and effective14–22. By using different variations of this mechanism, electron beams with low emittances down to the nano-meter level23–25, or low energy spreads down to a few percent26–28 were produced. Recently, a new ionization injection variation utilizing the beating of bichromatic lasers to produce sub-percent energy spread is proposed29. In this scheme, the driver is a femtosecond laser pulse with two frequency components ω1 and ω2. The laser peak electric field amplitude evolves due to the dispersion difference of the two frequency components in the plasma. The evolution length period of the electric field amplitude is

\[
\Delta z = \frac{4\pi \omega_1}{\omega_p^2 (\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2)} = 2.4 \lambda_p \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} \frac{1}{\omega_1 - \omega_2},
\]

which is typically in hundred micrometer or millimeter scales, where \(\omega_p\) is the plasma frequency and \(\lambda_p\) is the plasma wavelength. Consequently, the ionization triggered injections only occur in comparably confined volumes. The optimal combination of bichromatic laser components is found to have the ratio of \(\omega_1/\omega_2 = 4/3\) and \(E_{10}/E_{20} = 3\), where \(E_{10}\) and \(E_{20}\) are the electric field amplitude of the two components. Using this combination, the electric field waveform of the laser switches between \(\sin(\omega t) + \frac{1}{4} \sin(3\omega t)\) and \(\cos(\omega t) + \frac{1}{4} \cos(3\omega t)\) where \(\omega = \omega_1\), and resembles a square wave in the former form. Thus this scheme is also called the square-wave-like bichromatic laser (SWBL) injections.

In this paper, we extend the SWBL injection scheme to the mid-infrared laser regime. Instead of a 800 nm laser combined with a frequency tripled split off part30, we use a laser pulse with 2.4 μm wave length and combined with a 800 nm laser. Such 2.4 μm laser pulse in the 100 TW level using OPCPA technique is already under design31. Moreover, we choose a few-cycle 800 nm laser pulse as the \(\omega_2\) component, which is a standard laser technique31–33. Carbon dioxide is chosen as the injection gas, but the actual injection element is carbon instead of oxygen. This reduces the required laser intensity to less than a half compared with the case of using nitrogen.

II. THEORETICAL IONIZATION PROBABILITY USING THE ADK MODEL

Many of the previous studies use nitrogen as the injection gas, because the ionization threshold of the nitrogen inner
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The net electric field amplitude is the sum of the peak electric field amplitude and the peak electric field amplitude of the 2.4 μm component. One can see that the thresholds for notable ionization probabilities are 2.5 and 3.4 TV/m for the two waveforms, respectively. It means that the intermittent K-shell ionization can occur if E₁₀ is between 2.5 and 3.4 TV/m.

III. HIGH QUALITY ELECTRON BEAM ACCELERATIONS FROM 3D SIMULATIONS

We performed a series of full three dimensional (3D) particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations to study the injection and acceleration processes using the code OSIRIS. According to the previous conclusions, we choose carbon as the injection element, and both CH₃ and CO₂ are candidates. But CO₂ has the priority for the safety considerations. The electric field amplitude of the 2.4 μm component is chosen to be E₁₀ = 3.07 TV/m, corresponding to the normalized vector potential of a₁₀ = 2.295. This is strong enough to excite a relativistic wake for ionization injections, but not strong enough for self-injection of electrons because a₀ ≈ 3 is the minimal requirement for self-trapping. From the above discussions it is clear that under this intensity, the oxygen atoms do not provide K-shell electrons. In experimental situations, the laser pre-pulses are strong enough to dissociate CO₂ molecules and strip off their L-shells. Thus three species of particles are modeled in the PIC simulations: 1) the pre-ionized plasma electrons, 2) the carbon already in the +4 charge state (C⁴⁺) and 3) the K-shell electrons to be ionized from C⁴⁺. The simulations are carried out with the box size of 140×360×360 μm³ and the resolution of 4096×128×128, the time interval of about 0.11399 fs, the particle-per-cell number of 4 for background plasma electrons and of 4 for C⁴⁺. The positive charge background provided by O⁶⁺ or He²⁺ are preset by the PIC algorithm to neutralize the system initially, and are considered immobile because of their much slower response than the electrons. The laser profile is Gaussian transversely with the waist size of W₁₀ = W₂₀ = 60 μm, and takes the for-
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**Figure 1.** Ionization probability after one laser cycle vs. laser electric field amplitude for different situations predicted by the ADK model. (a) The laser is either with the wavelength of 2.4 μm (solid lines) or with 0.8 μm (dashed lines). The black/red/blue colors represent the cases of C⁴⁺, N³⁺ and O⁶⁺, whose L-shells have already been stripped off by laser pre-pulses. (b) The laser is square-wave-like bichromatic with wavelengths of 2.4 μm and 0.8 μm, and the ionization object is C⁴⁺. The solid line shows the ionization probability after one laser cycle when the combined electric field takes the form of $E_{10} \left[ \cos(\omega t) + \frac{1}{2} \cos(3\omega t) \right]$, and the dash-dot line shows that when it takes the form of $E_{10} \left[ \sin(\omega t) + \frac{1}{2} \sin(3\omega t) \right]$.
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**Figure 2.** Schematic diagram of the plasma profile in the simulations. The pseudo-color plot shows the distribution of the background plasma electrons, and the black solid line in the middle shows the longitudinal density profile of C⁴⁺. The region from $z = 0$ to 1000 μm is uniform for both the background plasma and C⁴⁺. The region from $z = 1400$ μm to infinite is a transversely parabolic channel without C⁴⁺. The region from $z = -400$ to 0 μm is a transition from vacuum to the plasma, and the region from $z = 1000$ to 1400 μm is a transition from the uniform region to the channel. The axial plasma density in the channel is the same as the density of the uniform region.

The peak electric field is one of the factors that influence the ionization probability. The thresholds for notable ionization probabilities are 2.5 and 3.4 TV/m for the two waveforms, respectively. It means that the intermittent K-shell ionization can occur if $E_{10}$ is between 2.5 and 3.4 TV/m.
m \(10^3 - 15x^4 + 6x^5\) \((0 \leq x \leq 1)\) for both the rising and falling edges longitudinally. The 2.4 \(\mu m\) wavelength component has the full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) duration of 100 fs, and the 0.8 \(\mu m\) component has the duration of 10 fs. Their profile maximums overlap initially. We use shorter duration of the 0.8 \(\mu m\) component to avoid ionization injection from multiple electric field peaks. The gas target profile is schematically shown in Fig. 2. The uniform region from \(z = 0\) to \(1000 \mu m\) is used for a stable SWBL injection. The channel from \(z = 1400 \mu m\) to infinite is used for a stable long distance acceleration, which has a matched channel depth\(^5\). The plasma electron density of the uniform region is \(n_p = 1.92 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), so that the theoretical injection interval is 1.8 mm according to Eq. (1). We choose three cases of \(C^{4+}\) densities for simulations: \(n_{C^{4+}} = 0.28, 0.56\) and \(1.2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), respectively. The last choice means we simply use pure \(CO_2\) in the injection stage, because each \(CO_2\) molecule provides 16 background plasma electrons.

Firstly, we present the results from \(n_{C^{4+}} = 0.28 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}\). Some typical laser-plasma snapshots together with the \((p_z, z)\) phase space plots of the injected electrons are shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3(a) to 3(c) are some slices of the laser, the wake and the injected electron beam. Figure 3(d) is the 3D snapshot at the energy-spread-optimal (ESO) acceleration distance, i.e. the distance where the relative energy spread has its minimal value, and the movie for the 3D snapshots evolution is available from the online supplemental multimedia. Figure 3(e) shows the laser peak electric field evolution. At the beginning, the laser evolves as the theoretical prediction for a SWBL with a period of \(\Delta z = 1.8 \text{ mm}\) according to Eq. (1). Later it undergoes self-focusing and the focusing due to the preformed plasma channel for \(z > 1.4 \text{ mm}\). It is worth noting that such self-focusing can occur even in uniform plasma without channel, and the self-focal length is \(z_{sf} = Z_p (P/P_r - 1)^{-1/2} = 2.7 \text{ mm}\) under the weak relativistic assumptions\(^27\). In our case, the injection stage filled with \(CO_2\) is in the range of \(-400 \mu m < z < 1400 \mu m\). This is the reason why only one injection bunch exists as shown in Fig. 3(g). Although not explicitly shown here, it can be seen from the supplemental multimedia of Fig. 3(g) and 3(h) that the injection starts from \(z = 220 \mu m\) and ends at \(z = 980 \mu m\). Figure 3(f) shows the energy and energy spread evolution of the injected beam. One can see that the energy spread reaches a minimal at about \(z = 1 \text{ cm}\) even though the energy still grows linearly after that. This is because that the phase rotation before dephasing is the main process for minimizing the energy spread. Figure 3(h) shows the phase space distribution at the ESO distance. The energy spread is 0.88 \% in FWHM in this case. One may note that there is another small spike in the phase space projection in Fig. 3(h). This spike is from the same injection period instead of another bunch.

Next we present the results using different \(n_{C^{4+}}\): (1) 0.28 \(\times 10^{16}\) \(\text{ cm}^{-3}\), (2) 0.56 \(\times 10^{16}\) \(\text{ cm}^{-3}\) and (3) 1.2 \(\times 10^{16}\) \(\text{ cm}^{-3}\). The laser evolutions and the injection processes are similar, but the output electron beams show differences as one can see in Fig. 4. From Fig. 4(a) to 4(c), one can see that the beam injections only occur in the injection stage \((z < 1.4 \text{ mm})\), during which the emittance in the laser polarization direction \(\epsilon_p^\phi\) also grows abruptly. In the acceleration stage \((z > 1.4 \text{ mm})\) \(\epsilon_p^\phi\) oscillates and decreases at first, reaches its minimal and grows slowly afterwards. Such phenomenon has been observed by others\(^35\). One can also observe that the emittance in the other transverse direction \(\epsilon_p^\chi\) continuously grows slowly. Figure 4(d) shows the ESO distances and the energy gain at these distances for the three cases, where the ESO distance is proportional to \(n_{C^{4+}}\). This can be attributed to the beam loading effect which modifies the acceleration electric field, thus a larger injected charge makes the \((p_z, z)\) phase rotation slow-
IV. CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, we have proposed a new configuration for producing high quality beams in LWFAs. The gas target has an injection stage with a uniform distribution of pure CO₂ or CO₂ mixed with some background gas, and an acceleration stage with transversely parabolic distributed pre-discharged plasma channels. The background gas and the gas in the acceleration stage can be a regular low-Z gas such as H₂ or He, and can also be O₂ since the K-shell of O has extremely low ionization probability with the laser amplitude we are using. A dual-color laser pulse with a waist of 60 µm is adopted, where the main pulse has a duration of 100 fs at the wavelength of 2.4 µm, and the trigger pulse has a duration of 10 fs at the wavelength of 0.8 µm, but the maximums of their profile overlap. Such 2.4 µm (100 fs duration, 71 TW peak power) laser system is under design, and such 0.8 µm (10 fs duration, 7.9 TW peak power) laser technique is already available. Output electron beam can have charge and energy spread of (13.56 pC, 0.88%), (27.05 pC, 1.25%) or (57.22 pC, 1.38%) for different densities of CO₂ cases. It is also worth noting that higher injected charge is achievable by using another injection gas which provide fewer background electrons, such as CH₄. Our configuration can produce several times higher charge compared with other LWFA researches with energy spreads of the 1% level. This is because the main pulse at longer wavelength and a relatively low plasma density are used in our scheme, thus with a limited power the laser pulse can have much larger waist, which can drive a larger wake structure to load a higher electron beam charge with a good quality. High-charge and low-energy-spread electron beams produced with our scheme may be suitable for applications such as XFELs.
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