Coordination polymer flexibility leads to polymorphism and enables a crystalline solid-vapour reaction: a multi-technique mechanistic study†
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Abstract

Despite an absence of conventional porosity, the 1D coordination polymer [Ag₄(O₂C(CF₂)₂CF₃)₄(TMP)]₃ 1 (TMP = tetramethylpyrazine) can absorb small alcohols from the vapour phase, which insert into Ag–O bonds to yield coordination polymers [Ag₄(O₂C(CF₂)₂CF₃)₄(TMP)]₃(ROH)₂] 1-ROH (R = Me, Et, iPr). The reactions are reversible single crystal-to-single crystal transformations. Vapour-solid equilibria have been examined by gas-phase IR spectroscopy (K = 5.68(9) × 10⁻⁵ (MeOH), 9.5(3) × 10⁻⁶ (EtOH), 6.14(5) × 10⁻⁵ (iPrOH) at 295 K, 1 bar). Thermal analyses (TGA, DSC) have enabled quantitative comparison of 2-step reactions 1-ROH → 1 → 2, where 2 is 2D coordination polymer [Ag₄(O₂C(CF₂)₂CF₃)₄(TMP)]₂ formed by
loss of TMP ligands exclusively from singly-bridging sites. Four polymorphic forms of 1 (1-A\textsuperscript{LT}, 1-A\textsuperscript{HT}, 1-B\textsuperscript{LT} and 1-B\textsuperscript{HT}) have been identified crystallographically. In situ PXRD studies of the 1-ROH $\rightarrow$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 2 transformations indicate the role of the HT polymorphs in these reactions. The structural relationship between polymorphs, involving changes in conformation of perfluoroalkyl chains and a change in orientation of entire polymers (A vs B forms), suggests a mechanism for the observed reactions and a pathway for guest transport within the fluoruous layers. Consistent with this pathway, optical microscopy and AFM studies on single crystals of 1-MeOH/1-A\textsuperscript{HT} show that cracks parallel to the layers of interdigitated perfluoroalkyl chains develop during the MeOH release/uptake process.

**Introduction**

The study of coordination polymers now spans some 25 years.\[^1\] In their porous form, as metal-organic frameworks, close to 20 years of research\[^2\] has produced a substantial variety of materials with wide-ranging properties, including gas storage and separations,\[^3\] catalysis,\[^4\] and medical applications,\[^5\] as well as magnetic\[^6\] and electronic\[^7\] and optical properties.\[^8\] There has been increasing recognition in recent years that despite being periodic coordinate covalent assemblies, these materials are far from static in the solid state and can undergo substantial structural and chemical changes, often while retaining crystallinity, either as a polycrystalline material or in some cases as a single crystal.\[^9\] Kole and Vittal have recently reviewed such transformations in coordination polymers, describing a wide range of phenomena, including solvent removal/uptake, changes in network dimensionality, photochemical reactions, mechanochemical reactions, gas-solid reactions, ligand addition/removal, and ligand or metal ion replacement.\[^10\] In contrast to the level of mechanistic understanding in solution-phase molecular chemistry, however, little is known about the mechanism in most cases of such transformations in solid-state coordination polymers. This is partially due to the novelty of these transformations as well as to the experimental and computational challenges in monitoring or modelling such transformations in the solid state.

Uptake of molecules from the gas or vapour phase by non-porous crystalline materials remains rare, although the number of examples of this phenomenon is growing, typically owing to crystalline architectures that permit molecular motions in crystals, which give rise to dynamic (or transient) porosity.\[^11\]-\[^12\] In some cases the uptake/release of small molecules also involves the formation or cleavage of covalent bonds.\[^13\]-\[^17\] Previously, we reported investigations focused on reactions of vapours or gases with non-porous crystalline molecular compounds and coordination polymers that involve metal-ligand bond breaking/formation in the solid state.\[^14\],\[^16\]

Our laboratory demonstrated that single crystals of the one-dimensional (1D) coordination polymer [Ag\(_4\)(O\(_2\)C(CF\(_2\))\(_2\)CF\(_3\))\(_4\)(TMP)\(_3\)] 1 (TMP = 2,3,5,6 tetramethylpyrazine), which exhibits a non-porous architecture in which the polymer chains are aligned with each other and interact via interdigitated perfluoroalkyl chains extending from the carboxylate ligands, undergoes reversible uptake and release of small alcohols in their vapour phase.\[^16b\] These single crystal-to-single crystal transformations (SCSCTs) involve insertion/deinsertion of the alcohol molecules into metal-carboxylate (Ag–O) bonds, accompanied by formation or breaking, respectively, of O–H⋯O hydrogen bonds between the coordinated alcohol molecules and a carboxylate group (Scheme 1).

Uptake of small alcohols (ROH) generates coordination polymer 1-ROH. Coordination polymer 1 also can release one-third of its TMP ligands into the vapour phase, resulting in an irreversible transformation to the 2D layered coordination polymer [Ag\(_4\)(O\(_2\)C(CF\(_2\))\(_2\)CF\(_3\))\(_4\)(TMP)\(_2\)] 2. The reaction manifold is summarised in Scheme 2. Herein we describe a mechanistic investigation of the solid-state reaction pathways using multiple techniques which has led to the discovery that 1 is polymorphic (4 phases, 1-A\textsuperscript{LT}, 1-A\textsuperscript{HT}, 1-B\textsuperscript{LT} and 1-B\textsuperscript{HT}). Moreover, this investigation reveals that interconversion between the polymorphs can be attributed to the structural flexibility of the coordination polymers, enabling guest transport and TMP release (1 $\rightarrow$ 2).
Scheme 1. Reversible alcohol release/uptake by the coordination polymers $[\text{Ag}_4(\text{O}_2\text{C(CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_3)_4(\text{TMP})_3(\text{ROH})_2]_n$ 1-ROH (R= Me, Et, iPr) yielding coordinated polymer 1, here emphasizing only the change in carboxylate coordination.

Scheme 2. Reaction scheme showing relationship between coordination polymers 1-ROH (shown here with R= Me), 1 (as polymorph $1-\text{A}^{\text{HT}}$) and 2.

Results

Crystal structures and the solid-vapour reaction manifold. The previously reported crystal structures $[\text{Ag}_4(\text{O}_2\text{C(CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_3)_4(\text{TMP})_3(\text{MeOH})_2]$ (1-MeOH), $[\text{Ag}_4(\text{O}_2\text{C(CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_3)_4(\text{TMP})_3(\text{EtOH})_2]$ (1-EtOH) and $[\text{Ag}_4(\text{O}_2\text{C(CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_3)_4(\text{TMP})_3(i\text{PrOH})_2]$ (1-iPrOH) are isostructural with the example denoted as 1-ROH in Scheme 2. These coordination polymers comprise pairs of Ag(I) cations which are bridged directly by a heptafluorobutanoate ligand. A second heptafluorobutanoate ligand adopts an asymmetric coordination mode in which it forms a chelate with one Ag centre and a hydrogen bond (O–H⋯O) with an alcohol molecule coordinated to the other Ag centre (Scheme 1). The di-silver units, $\text{Ag}_2(\text{O}_2\text{C(CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_3)_2(\text{ROH})$ (R = Me, Et, or iPr), are linked by pairs of parallel TMP ligands. The resulting tetra-silver units,
Ag₄(O₂C(CF₂)₂CF₃)₄(TMPS)₃(ROH)₂, are linked further via single TMP ligands to form a polymeric zigzag tape. The one-dimensional coordination polymers 1-ROH propagate approximately in the [113] direction and assemble in a distorted hexagonal rod-like packing motif such that each polymer tape is surrounded by six neighbouring tapes (Figure 1a). Perfluoroalkyl groups of neighbouring polymers are interdigitated to provide fluorous layers which lie parallel to the (01−1) planes. The coordinated alcohol is lost upon mild heating of 1-ROH (R = Me, Et and iPr) coordination polymers, yielding the coordination polymer [Ag₄(O₂C(CF₂)₂CF₃)₄(TMPS)₃] (1) (Scheme 2), which adopts four different polymorphic forms (vide infra), each with crystal structures closely related to that of 1-ROH. The transformation from 1-ROH to 1 requires the breaking of Ag−O(H)R coordination bonds and RO−H···O₃-carboxylate hydrogen bonds and the formation of new Ag−O₃-carboxylate bonds, i.e. effectively an intramolecular ligand substitution reaction at alternate Ag centres. Further heating of 1 leads to loss of the singly-bridging TMP ligands and formation of new Ag−O bonds that directly link the remaining tetra-silver units, Ag₄(O₂C(CF₂)₂CF₃)₄(TMPS)₃(ROH)₂, into the more condensed 2D coordination polymer 2 (Scheme 2).

Figure 1. View of (a) 1-MeOH and (b) 1-HT along the coordination polymer chains, illustrating the rod-like distorted hexagonal packing motif of these chains. The coordination polymer propagation direction is approximately [113] for 1-MeOH and is [001] for 1-HT. The (01−1) planes for 1-MeOH and (010) planes for 1-HT (shown in red) lie parallel to the fluorous layers. Ag in black, TMP ligands in blue, heptfluorobutanoate in red, methanol in green. Note that lattice indexing is different for the two crystal structures. Alternative views of these structures are shown in Figures 6b and 7b.

TGA-DSC. A study of 1-MeOH, 1-EtOH and 1-iPrOH by combined TGA-DSC clearly shows sequential loss of two alcohol molecules (step 1) followed by one TMP ligand (step 2) per [Ag₄(O₂C(CF₂)₂CF₃)₄(TMPS)₃(ROH)₂] formula unit (Table 1; Figure S26), consistent with the previously established structural characterisation for reaction of 1-MeOH.¹⁶b These events precede a final larger mass loss (step 3) in which remaining organic components of the materials are removed. At the scan rate used (2 °C/min) loss of TMP is completed within the temperature range 383–458 K in each case. EtOH loss is complete at a higher temperature (383 K) than MeOH loss (348 K). iPrOH loss is complete at 348 K, a lower temperature than for EtOH loss. This is consistent with difference in preparation of 1-iPrOH (from solid-vapour reaction of 1 and iPrOH) compared to 1-MeOH and 1-EtOH (solution phase synthesis), as discussed previously.¹⁶b The identities of the products formed after each step were confirmed as [Ag₄(O₂C(CF₂)₂CF₃)₄(TMPS)₃]
and [Ag₄(O₂C(CF₃)₂CF₂)₄(TMP)₂] (2), respectively, by independent powder and/or single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments. The data for the final decomposition step are consistent with formation of a mixture of Ag₂O and Ag₂CO₃.

Table 1. TGA-DSC results for heating of compounds 1-MeOH, 1-EtOH and 1-iPrOH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1 (loss of ROH)</th>
<th>Temp range</th>
<th>1-MeOH (25.925 mg)</th>
<th>1-EtOH (26.025 mg)</th>
<th>1-iPrOH (25.2397 mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TGA:</td>
<td>303-348 K</td>
<td>328-383 K</td>
<td>333-348 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSC:</td>
<td>321-359 K</td>
<td>345-392 K</td>
<td>339-355 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass loss (%)</td>
<td>Expected 3.66</td>
<td>Expected 5.16</td>
<td>Expected 6.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed 3.43</td>
<td>Observed 5.15</td>
<td>Observed 6.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>77.77</td>
<td>67.31</td>
<td>98.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2 (loss of TMP)</td>
<td>Temp range</td>
<td>398-456 K</td>
<td>383-438 K</td>
<td>393-441 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TGA:</td>
<td>415-458 K</td>
<td>409-436 K</td>
<td>402-436 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSC:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Observed 7.44</td>
<td>Expected 7.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed 7.77</td>
<td>Expected 7.64</td>
<td>Observed 7.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>50.76</td>
<td>51.31</td>
<td>52.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3 (decomp.)</td>
<td>Temp range</td>
<td>480-507 K</td>
<td>483-509 K</td>
<td>480-508 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSC:</td>
<td>483-507 K</td>
<td>484-511 K</td>
<td>484-510 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final mass (%)</td>
<td>Observed 29.63</td>
<td>Expected (Ag₂O): 26.45</td>
<td>Expected (Ag₂O): 25.97</td>
<td>Expected (Ag₂CO₃): 30.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed 28.46</td>
<td>Observed 28.86</td>
<td>Observed (Ag₂CO₃): 30.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polymorphs of 1. Four polymorphs have been identified and crystallographically characterised for coordination polymer 1. Each of two structure types (A and B) adopts a high temperature (HT) and low temperature (LT) form (1-A¹LT, 1-A¹HT, 1-B¹LT and 1-B¹HT). Polymorph 1-A¹HT adopts the crystal structure previously reported by our laboratory as 1.¹⁶b Polymorph 1-B¹HT was previously reported as 1¹HT, based on unit cell dimensions alone, determined from powder diffraction in our earlier report (i.e., no crystal structure determination). The four polymorphs share a common polymeric zig-zag tape motif in which pairs of Ag(I) cations adopt a dimeric arrangement bridged by two carboxylate ligands, these dimers being propagated into the tape by the TMP ligands via alternating double and single bridges. The A and B polymorph structures differ primarily with respect to the arrangement of the coordination polymer tapes, whereas the difference between LT and HT forms stems from the conformations of the fluoralkyl groups and a reduction in symmetry in the LT forms (Figure 2).
Figure 2. Crystal structures of the four polymorphs (1-A^{HT}, 1-A^{LT}, 1-B^{HT} and 1-B^{LT}) of coordination polymer 1 [Ag_4(O_2C(CF_2)CF_3)_4(TMPr)_4]_n. Expansions show the asymmetric units, highlighting: (i) the coordination environment of the Ag(I) centres; and (ii) the different conformational distributions (gauche:anti) of the perfluoroalkyl chains. Hydrogen atoms are not shown in expanded views, and TMP ligands are only shown in part for polymorphs 1-A^{HT} and 1-B^{HT}. Colour code as in Figure 1.

Interdigitated perfluoroalkyl groups of neighbouring polymers provide fluorous layers in the crystal (e.g., see Figure 1b for 1-A^{HT}), as previously noted for 1-ROH. The fluoroalkyl groups exhibit some disorder towards the end of the chain (away from the carboxylate group), suggesting mobility of these groups, possibly as a result of the relatively weak dispersion interactions. The perfluoroalkyl groups adopt one of two conformations (gauche or anti) about their C=C bond. The LT forms (1-A^{LT} and 1-B^{LT}) have four crystallographically independent perfluorocarboxylate groups, which in polymorph 1-A^{LT} exist in a 3:1 ratio of anti:gauche conformations, whereas for polymorph 1-B^{LT} a 1:1 anti:gauche ratio is observed. The HT polymorphs (1-A^{HT} and 1-B^{HT}) each have only two crystallographically independent perfluorocarboxylate groups, present in a 1:1 ratio of anti:gauche conformations. Polymorph 1-A^{LT} has been observed in the temperature range of 100-115 K (temperatures below 100 K have not been examined) and reversibly forms polymorph 1-A^{HT} at about 115 K. Polymorph 1-A^{HT} has been observed in the temperature range of 115-340 K, and forms polymorph 1-B^{HT} at approximately 340 K. Polymorph 1-B^{HT} has been observed in the temperature range of 250-340 K and reversibly forms 1-B^{LT} at about 250 K. In polymorphs 1-A^{HT} and 1-A^{LT} polymeric tapes are stacked along the [010] and [001] direction, respectively and are related by inversion symmetry, such that Ag_4(O_2C(CF_2)CF_3)_4(TMPr)_4 units in adjacent tapes have parallel orientations (Figure 3a). In polymorphs 1-B^{HT} and 1-B^{LT}, tapes are related by a 2_i screw axis parallel to the b-axis, such that Ag_4(O_2C(CF_2)CF_3)_4(TMPr)_4 units in adjacent tapes are rotated by approximately 72° (Figure 3b). These arrangements are of consequence in the mechanism proposed in the Discussion section.

Figure 3. (a) Crystal structure of polymorph 1-A^{HT} viewed along the [010] direction showing tapes stacked directly upon each other and (b) crystal structure of polymorph 1-B^{HT} viewed along the [001] direction showing tapes that are related by a 2_i screw axis that lies along the vertical axis of this view (i.e. [010]). Blue and red colours denote adjacent tapes stacked along the viewing direction. Hydrogen atoms not shown.

In situ powder diffraction studies of solid-state reactions involving loss of coordinated alcohol: 1-EtOH → 1 and 1-iPrOH → 1. The release of ethanol and isopropanol vapours from crystalline 1-EtOH (Figure 4) and 1-iPrOH (Figure 5), respectively, was monitored in situ using synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction at 340 K for compound 1-EtOH and at 373 K for compound 1-iPrOH. Patterns were collected every 20 min and Rietveld refinement\textsuperscript{[18]} was used to fit the diffraction pattern at each time interval and determine the proportion of each constituent present. An impurity phase 3 (25%) was found in the sample of compound 1-EtOH, but the contribution of 3 to the diffraction pattern is unchanged upon heating the sample.\textsuperscript{[19]} Formation of compound 1 was observed after 20 min of heating for each compound. Two different polymorphs, 1-A^{HT} and 1-B^{HT}, were observed on heating compound 1-EtOH. After 2 h of heating, release of ethanol by 1-EtOH was complete (Figure 4). Only polymorph 1-B^{HT} was observed upon isopropanol release by 1-
Although it is possible that 1-AHT was formed and converted to 1-BHT between measurement steps. The release of isopropanol by 1-iPrOH was completed after 20 min (Figure 5) and traces of compound 2 were also detected, indicating some subsequent loss of the TMP ligand from 1 as previously documented by in situ diffraction for 1-MeOH[10b] and confirmed herein by TGA-DSC for all 1-ROH compounds.

**Figure 4.** In situ synchrotron powder diffraction study of ethanol release reaction, 1-EtOH → 1-AHT + 1-BHT, at 340 K (from top to bottom). Interval between powder patterns is 20 min. (Compound 3 is an impurity phase that remains unchanged during the conversion of 1-EtOH to 1). (Rietveld fits are shown in Figs. S7-S14).

**Figure 5.** In situ synchrotron powder diffraction study of iPrOH release reaction, 1-iPrOH → 1-BHT + 2, at 373 K (from top to bottom). Interval between powder patterns is 20 min. (Rietveld fits are shown in Figs. S15-S17).

**Gas-phase infrared spectroscopy.** In order to establish that the reactions

\[
\text{[Ag}_4\text{(O}_2\text{C(CF}_2\text{)}_2\text{CF}_3\text{)}_4\text{(TMp)}_3\text{(ROH)}_2\text{]} (s) \rightleftharpoons [\text{Ag}_4\text{(O}_2\text{C(CF}_2\text{)}_2\text{CF}_3\text{)}_4\text{(TMp)}_3\text{]} (s) + 2 \text{ROH (g)}
\]

conform to a solid-vapour equilibrium process, the partial pressure of alcohol vapour was monitored by gas-phase FT-IR spectroscopy during its release from crystalline 1-ROH, using a previously devised procedure[14b] that employs a custom-built gas-phase IR cell (Figure S19). The alcohol release reactions reach equilibrium after several days, at which time the equilibrium pressure could be determined (Figure 6). Table 2 lists the equilibrium constant, \(K_p\) (at 295 K; \(p^o = 1\) bar), and the
corresponding $\Delta G^{0}_{295K}$ for each of the three alcohols. Equilibrium constants were calculated by assuming unit fugacity coefficients for all vapours at the low pressures involved.\textsuperscript{[20]} The validity of using unit activity coefficients for the crystalline solids was examined and confirmed by conducting measurements with different quantities of 1-MeOH (Table 2, Figure 6).

Table 2. Final partial pressure, equilibrium constant ($K_p$ at 295 K, $p^o = 1$ bar) and Gibbs free energy for alcohol release reactions at 295 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final partial pressure of ROH\textsuperscript{[a]} (bar)</th>
<th>$K_p$</th>
<th>$\Delta G^{0}_{295K}$ (kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-MeOH (50 mg)</td>
<td>0.00753(6)</td>
<td>5.68(9) $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-MeOH (100 mg)</td>
<td>0.00744(5)</td>
<td>5.55(7) $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-EtOH</td>
<td>0.00308(5)</td>
<td>9.5(3) $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-iPrOH</td>
<td>0.00783(2)</td>
<td>6.14(5) $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{[a]} Errors are determined by from the spread of values obtained once the pressure has reached a plateau. The average of these values is the reported pressure.

**Optical and atomic force microscopy.** In order to investigate whether alcohol loss and uptake takes place via particular crystal faces, the loss of MeOH from 1-MeOH to form 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} and the uptake of MeOH by 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} to form 1-MeOH was examined by optical microscopy and AFM using single crystals.

A single crystal of 1-MeOH mounted on an X-ray diffractometer was allowed to lose MeOH at room temperature under a dry nitrogen stream, during which time the unit cell of the crystal was monitored by X-ray diffraction and optical images of the crystal were recorded using a CCD microscope. After 2.5 h, unit cell determinations confirmed that complete conversion of 1-MeOH to 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} had occurred. An image of the crystal viewed approximately perpendicular to the large (100) face of 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} indicates that a small crack developed parallel to the (010) planes of 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} (Figure 7a). The planes correspond to the fluorous regions that result from interdigitated fluoralkyl groups of neighbouring coordination polymers (Figure 7b).
Figure 7. (a) Single crystal of 1-\(\text{A}^{\text{HT}}\) (formed by MeOH loss from 1-MeOH) with crystal faces indicated, showing a small crack (circled), which lies parallel to the (010) plane (indexing relative to 1-\(\text{A}^{\text{HT}}\) lattice). (b) Crystal structure of 1-\(\text{A}^{\text{HT}}\) view of the perpendicular to the (100) plane with (010) plane shown in red. Colours are as in Scheme 2. Hydrogen atoms not shown.

The crystal of 1-\(\text{A}^{\text{HT}}\) was then exposed to MeOH vapour in a sealed container maintained at 251 K. After 24 h, unit cell determination confirmed uptake of MeOH and conversion back to 1-MeOH, in accord with previous observations.\cite{16b} Optical images demonstrate that the small crack became larger during this process. This crack lies parallel to the (01−1) planes in 1-MeOH, which corresponds to the plane that contains the same flouros regions as noted previously (Figure 8; 1-MeOH is indexed differently to 1-\(\text{A}^{\text{HT}}\)).

Figure 8. (a) Single crystal of crystal 1-MeOH, formed from crystal of 1-\(\text{A}^{\text{HT}}\) after 24 hrs exposure to MeOH vapour, indicated the enlarged crack (circled), which lies parallel to the (01−1) planes (indexing relative to 1-MeOH lattice). (b) Crystal structure of 1-MeOH viewed perpendicular to the (−101) plane with (01−1) plane shown in red. Colours are as in Scheme 2. Hydrogen atoms not shown.

In a separate experiment a series of AFM images of a single crystal of 1-MeOH maintained at a temperature of 308 K were recorded by scanning the (−101) face. Over a period of 48 min a large crack developed in a direction corresponding to the (01−1) planes (Figure 9) as MeOH is lost. This observation is consistent with the optical microscope images.
We have previously shown that the crystalline coordination polymers \[\text{[Ag}_4\text{(O}_2\text{C(CF}_2\text{)CF}_3\text{)}_4\text{(TMP)}_3\text{(ROH)}_2]\] 1-ROH \(R = \text{Me, Et, 'Pr}\) liberate the coordinated alcohol (ROH) upon mild heating and are converted to the structurally-related coordination polymer \[\text{[Ag}_4\text{(O}_2\text{C(CF}_2\text{)CF}_3\text{)}_4\text{(TMP)}_3]\] 1 in a single crystal-to-single crystal manner, a process that involves
cleavage and formation of covalent bonds as well as cleavage of hydrogen bonds. The process is reversible multiple times within one single crystal.\textsuperscript{116b} Gas-phase IR spectroscopic measurements now establish that crystalline 1-ROH exists in solid-vapour equilibrium with the corresponding alcohol vapour (and crystalline 1) when in a closed container. Equilibrium constants are similar for the three different systems, the value for 1-EtOH being a factor of five smaller than those for 1-MeOH and 1-iPrOH. It is also noted from TGA-DSC data that loss of EtOH is completed at a higher temperature (380 K) than loss of MeOH or 'PrOH (350 K), albeit with a slightly smaller measured enthalpy. There is no apparent correlation of the thermodynamic data with packing coefficients of the three compounds 1-ROH, which all lie in the range 67.1–68.8\% (cf. 67.1\% for 1-A\textsuperscript{HT}).\textsuperscript{21} The 1D coordination polymer 1 can be further converted irreversibly into the 2D coordination polymer [(Ag\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}C(CF\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{6}K(TMP)\textsubscript{2}] 2 as a crystalline powder through selective loss of all singly-bridging TMP ligands (Scheme 2). Prior to the present study, however, our understanding of the mechanism(s) of this sequence of solid-vapour reactions was limited.

The earlier investigations in our laboratory focused on a series of reactions involving one single crystal, in the sequence 1-EtOH $\rightarrow$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1-MeOH $\rightarrow$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1-iPrOH $\rightarrow$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1-EtOH, in which, although alcohol removal reactions were conducted at elevated temperatures (320 K) and alcohol uptake by the crystal was conducted at reduced temperatures (248 K), crystal structure determinations at all stages in the reaction sequence were determined at a single temperature, 240 K. The crystal structure determined for coordination polymer 1 was identical at each stage of the reaction. The current investigation has revealed that coordination polymer 1 can actually exist as four polymorphs in the temperature range 100–340 K, comprising two polymorph types (A and B), each with a high-temperature and a low-temperature form, identified as 1-A\textsuperscript{LT}, 1-A\textsuperscript{HT}, 1-B\textsuperscript{LT} and 1-B\textsuperscript{HT}. Polymorph types A and B differ in the arrangement of the polymer tapes, whereas the HT and LT forms differ in the conformation of the fluoroalkyl chains and in their symmetry (Z' value).

The previously determined single crystal structure of 1, denoted here as polymorph 1-A\textsuperscript{HT}, was obtained in the present work upon heating a single crystal of 1-EtOH to remove EtOH; subsequently cooling the crystal below 115 K converts this to a new polymorph, 1-A\textsuperscript{LT}. However, in contrast to our earlier study, heating a single crystal of 1-iPrOH generated a new polymorph, 1-B\textsuperscript{HT} rather than 1-A\textsuperscript{HT}, although transition via 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} to 1-B\textsuperscript{HT} cannot be ruled out; cooling the crystal of 1-B\textsuperscript{HT} below 250 K results in conversion to the fourth polymorph, 1-B\textsuperscript{LT}. Crystal structures of all polymorphs exhibit some disorder in the fluoroalkyl chains and individual chains have been identified in linear (anti) or bent (gauche) conformations (see above). These observations suggest significant mobility of the fluoroalkyl chains, consistent with the hypothesis that motion of the fluoroalkyl chains provide a mechanism for transport of alcohol molecules within the crystals. In accord with this assertion, optical microscopy and AFM studies on single crystals of 1-MeOH/1-A\textsuperscript{HT} have identified that during the MeOH release (and uptake) processes cracks form parallel to the planes defined layers of interdigitated perfluoroalkyl chains.

In light of the crystallographic characterisation of the polymorphic forms of 1, in situ powder diffraction studies of the release of EtOH from 1-EtOH and of iPrOH from 1-iPrOH can be considered along with our prior study of MeOH release from 1-MeOH\textsuperscript{116b} to provide further information on the mechanism of the overall reaction manifold 1-ROH $\rightarrow$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 2 (Scheme 2; note that 1 $\rightarrow$ 2 is a polycrystalline rather than single crystal transformation). The studies of 1-MeOH and 1-EtOH reveal the transformation to 2 involves the generation of both 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} and 1-B\textsuperscript{HT} polymorphs of 1 upon loss of the alcohol, but prior to loss of TMP. In the study of 1-iPrOH only polymorph 1-B\textsuperscript{HT} was identified, but temporary presence of 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} cannot be ruled out. The variation in proportion of 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} and 1-B\textsuperscript{HT} during the course of the reaction initiated from 1-EtOH (Figure 4 and S7-S14) suggests that interconversion between the two polymorphs occurs at the elevated temperatures, but this has not been independently confirmed. The overall trend from the studies of the three 1-ROH compounds suggests gradual conversion of 1-A\textsuperscript{HT} to 1-B\textsuperscript{HT}, but cannot unambiguously establish whether loss of TMP ligands to form coordination polymer 2 occurs only from 1-B\textsuperscript{HT} or from either of the two HT polymorphs.
Conversion between polymorphs 1-A$^\text{HT}$ and 1-B$^\text{HT}$ involves reorienting half of the zigzag coordination polymers within the crystal so as to change the relative orientation of the Ag$_4$(O$_2$CR$_f$)$_4$(TMP)$_2$ units (R$_f$ = CF$_2$CF$_2$CF$_3$) and the connecting TMP units (Figure 3). This could be envisaged as requiring a 180 ° rotation of every other polymer about its principal axis. This requires a large motion, particularly involving the fluoroalkyl groups, however, which would need to be displaced from one fluoroalkyl layer and then inserted into a neighbouring layer. An alternative and more probable process would involve cleavage of Ag–N bonds between the Ag$_4$(O$_2$CR$_f$)$_4$(TMP)$_2$ units and the singly bridging TMP ligands, followed by a rotation of the separated Ag$_4$(O$_2$CR$_f$)$_4$(TMP)$_2$ units in which fluoroalkyl groups move within their current fluoroalkyl layer, before reformation of Ag–N bonds in the new orientation (Scheme 3). It can be envisioned that the latter process leads to mobility of the dissociated TMP ligands and ultimately to the release of these ligands, permitting direct linking of Ag$_4$(O$_2$CR$_f$)$_4$(TMP)$_2$ units through Ag–O bonds, resulting in formation of coordination polymer 2. The most plausible overall mechanism is via the route 1-ROH $\rightarrow$ [1-A$^\text{HT}$ $\leftrightarrow$ 1-B$^\text{HT}$] $\rightarrow$ 2 (Scheme 4), wherein the (inter)conversion between 1-A$^\text{HT}$ and 1-B$^\text{HT}$ leads to loss of TMP ligands over time.
Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism for conversion between polymorphs 1-A<sub>HT</sub> and 1-B<sub>HT</sub> involving dissociation of singly-bridging TMP ligands which leads to conversion of 1 → 2 via loss of these dissociated TMP ligands. Conversion between polymorphs 1-A<sub>HT</sub> and 1-B<sub>HT</sub> requires no loss of dissociated TMP ligands, but instead requires rotation of these ligands and the residual Ag₄(O₂CR₀₄)₂(TMP)₂ units (R₁ = CF₂CF₂CF₃) in half of polymers in each crystal. The rotations are indicated by orange and purple curved arrows. The box indicates that the species depicted with dissociated TMP units correspond to a non-isolable intermediate or transition state species in the transformation of 1 → 2.
Scheme 4. The proposed reaction manifold showing the conversion \( 1-\text{ROH} \rightarrow [1-A^{\text{HT}} \leftrightarrow 1-B^{\text{HT}}] \rightarrow 2 \), the first two steps of which are equilibria in a closed environment. There is no definitive experimental evidence for the steps shown with red dashed arrows, but the presence of these routes could not be ruled out. The conversions between the four polymorphs of 1, involving changes in temperature, are also indicated.

**Conclusions**

A combination of experiments comprising *in situ* X-ray diffraction, thermal analyses (TGA, DSC), gas-phase IR spectroscopy and microscopy (optical, AFM) has provided mechanistic insight into the chemically-rich reaction manifold of the crystalline coordination polymer \([\text{Ag}_4(\text{O}_2\text{C}(\text{CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_3)_4(\text{TMP})_3] \) 1. Despite an absence of porosity, as conventionally defined, crystals of coordination polymer 1 can reversibly absorb and release small alcohols. This involves formation/breaking of coordination bonds and hydrogen bonds, and thereby interconversion with coordination polymers \([\text{Ag}_4(\text{O}_2\text{C}(\text{CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_3)_4(\text{TMP})_3(\text{ROH})_2] \) 1-ROH via a solid-vapour equilibrium, which has been quantified by gas-phase IR spectroscopy. Our assertion that alcohol transport occurs via the fluoroalkyl layers, which contain fluoroalkyl groups of variable conformation, is consistent with observations from both optical microscopy and AFM, which reveal the development of cracks in the crystals parallel to these layers upon alcohol uptake and release.

Coordination polymer 1 is shown to exist as four polymorphs related by changes in orientation of the polymer and changes in conformation of the perfluoralkyl chains of the carboxylate ligands. The existence of these polymorphs can be attributed to the flexibility of the coordination polymer, which we have previously assigned as essential to the absorption and release of small molecules. The two high temperature polymorphs 1-A^{HT} and 1-B^{HT} are formed under the
conditions of the reaction that converts $1$-ROH $\rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$ as crystalline solids via sequential loss first of two equivalents of alcohol ROH, then one equivalent of the bridging ligand TMP selectively from the singly bridging sites. The proposed mechanism for conversion between $1$-$A_{HT}^{LT}$ and $1$-$B_{HT}^{HT}$ involves Ag–N bond cleavage/reformation and is implicated in release of the TMP ligands to enable (irreversible) conversion of 1D coordination polymer 1 into 2D coordination polymer 2 ($[\text{Ag}_4\text{O}_2\text{C}2\text{CF}_2\text{CF}_3]_4(\text{TMP})_2$).

The behaviour observed in these non-porous coordination polymers involving a two-step reaction process ($1$-ROH $\rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$) is distinct from two-step processes identified either in MOFs with permanent porosity[22] or in coordination polymers in which guest molecules are bound non-covalently[23] rather than via coordination bonds. The extensive nature of the reaction manifold observed, and its amenability to investigation by multiple experimental techniques, provides a highly valuable insight into the behaviour of such solid-state materials. The understanding provided by such studies is of relevance across a broader field that includes many designed materials based on coordination chemistry, most notably MOFs, and provides encouragement for future development of flexible and responsive materials, for example with applications in areas such as sensing and catalysis.

**Experimental**

**General**

All reagents were purchased from Aldrich or Alfa Aesar and used as received. IR spectra were measured using Perkin Elmer FT-IR spectrum 1000 instrument. Solid-state spectra were obtained by using a universal ATR sampling accessory. Gas-phase spectra were measured by using a purposely designed sample cell as previously described.[146] TGA data were obtained using a Mettler Toledo TGA-DSC STARre system. Elemental analyses were conducted by the Elemental Analysis Service in the Department of Chemistry at University of Sheffield.

**Synthesis**

[Ag$_4$(O$_2$C$_2$F$_2$CF$_3$)$_4$(TMP)$_3$(MeOH)$_2$] (1-MeOH), [Ag$_4$(O$_2$C$_2$F$_2$CF$_3$)$_4$(TMP)$_3$(EtOH)$_2$] (1-EtOH), [Ag$_4$(O$_2$C$_2$F$_2$CF$_3$)$_4$(TMP)$_3$(iPrOH)$_2$] (1-iPrOH), [Ag$_4$(O$_2$C$_2$F$_2$CF$_3$)$_4$(TMP)$_3$] (1) and [Ag$_4$(O$_2$C$_2$F$_2$CF$_3$)$_4$(TMP)$_2$] (2). Syntheses were conducted as previously reported.[150] All compounds are characterised by elemental analysis and IR spectroscopy and phase purity was analysed by X-ray powder diffraction. Details are provided in the Supporting Information.

[Ag(CO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$CF$_3$TMP] (3). Silver(I) heptafluorobutanoate (166 mg, 0.517 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (2 mL) and carefully layered on a solution of TMP (75 mg, 0.550 mmol) in acetonitrile solution (2 mL). Diffusion between layers at room temperature afforded colourless block crystals in 67% yield within 2 days. Calc.: C, 31.52; H, 2.62; N, 6.12%. Found: C, 31.99; H, 2.96; N, 6.69%. The crystal structure of 3 was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction.

**Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction**

**Data Collection.** Synchrotron X-ray data were collected at a temperature of 100 K for $1$-$A_{LT}^{HT}$ and 150 K for $1$-$B_{HT}^{LT}$, both polymorphs of 1, formed by single-crystal-to-single-crystal transformations involving loss of alcohol molecules from $1$-EtOH and $1$-iPrOH, respectively (vide infra). The unit cell was determined for polymorph $1$-$B_{HT}^{HT}$, also formed in the solid-state transformation involving loss of iPrOH from $1$-iPrOH. Data were measured at beamline 9.8 ($\lambda = 0.6710$ Å) at SRS, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, using a Bruker APEX II diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra Plus nitrogen flow gas system. X-ray data for compound 3, crystals of which were obtained directly from solution-phase synthesis, were collected at 150 K using Mo-Kα radiation on a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra nitrogen flow gas system.

**Crystal structure determination and refinement.** X-ray data were corrected for absorption using empirical methods (SADABS) based upon symmetry-equivalent reflections combined with measurements at different
azimuthal angles. All crystal structures were solved and refined against all $F^2$ values using the SHELXTL suite of programs. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically where possible, whereas hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions, refined using idealized geometries (riding model) and assigned fixed isotropic displacement parameters. In many of the structure determinations fluoroalkyl chains are described as disordered over two orientations with carbon and fluorine atoms modelled using isotropic displacement parameters. A summary of the data collection and structure refinement information for 1-A$^{\text{LT}}$, 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$,[16b] 1-B$^{\text{HT}}$ and 3, as well as unit cell parameters for 1-B$^{\text{HT}}$, is provided in Table 3. Crystal structures of compounds 1-MeOH, 1-EtOH, 1-iPrOH and 2 were reported previously,[16b] and are discussed here in the context of the solid-vapour reactions. Crystal data for these compounds are compiled in Table S1. The triclinic unit cell for 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ is indexed such that unit cell dimensions are similar to those of the compounds 1-ROH. However, this requires that the orientation of the coordination polymers with respect to the unit cell axes is different for 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ than for 1-ROH (vide infra). Crystal structures of 1-ROH, 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ and 2 are shown in Scheme 2. Crystal structures of 1-A$^{\text{LT}}$ and 1-B$^{\text{LT}}$ are shown in Figure 2, along with the two high temperature polymorphs, 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ and 1-B$^{\text{HT}}$, for comparison. The crystal structure of 3 is shown in Figure S1.

Single-Crystal-to-Single-Crystal Transformations (SCSCT).

SCSCT of 1-MeOH → 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ → 1-MeOH. X-ray data for unit cell determination and a series of optical images were collected during the single crystal-to-single crystal reaction sequence 1-MeOH → 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ → 1-MeOH. A Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer was used with Mo-Kα radiation and an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra nitrogen flow gas system used for heating and cooling the crystal. A crystal of the starting compound 1-MeOH was affixed to a glass fibre with a minimum of adhesive on one side of the crystal. A partial data set was collected at room temperature confirming the structure by unit cell determination. The unit cell was monitored at room temperature for 210 mins, after which the crystal could be indexed as a single phase of compound 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$, resulting from complete loss of MeOH. A series of optical images of the crystal were recorded using a CCD microscope with viewing directions established from the orientation matrix. The crystal was then exposed to methanol vapours for 24 hrs at 251 K in a sealed container, which resulted in full conversion to compound 1-MeOH by uptake of MeOH. A partial data set was then obtained confirming conversion to 1-MeOH by unit cell determination and a further series of optical images were recorded.

SCSCT of 1-EtOH → 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ → 1-A$^{\text{LT}}$ → 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ → 1-A$^{\text{LT}}$. An in situ experiment was undertaken to investigate the removal of EtOH from a single crystal of 1-EtOH at beamline 9.8 ($\lambda = 0.6710 \text{ Å}$), SRS, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, using a Bruker APEX II diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra nitrogen flow gas system. A single crystal of 1-EtOH was heated to 318 K for 24 hours, after which, consistent with previous experiments,[16b] it had transformed into coordination polymer 1 as a single crystal in the polymorphic form 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$. The crystal was cooled to 100 K, after which repeated determinations of the unit cell dimensions confirmed that it had transformed into a new form, hereafter referred to as 1-A$^{\text{LT}}$. A full data set was obtained and a crystal structure determination of new polymorph 1-A$^{\text{LT}}$ was undertaken. The crystal was subsequently heated to room temperature, then cooled, first to 125 K and subsequently to 115 K, during which a unit cell determination was undertaken at each stage. The crystal had reverted to polymorph 1-A$^{\text{HT}}$ and remained so within this temperature range (i.e. 115 < T < 295 K). Finally, the crystal was cooled to 110 K, whereupon determination of the unit cell dimensions established that the crystal was once again polymorph 1-A$^{\text{LT}}$, thereby confirming the reversibility of the phase transition.

SCSCT of 1-iPrOH → 1-B$^{\text{HT}}$ → 1-B$^{\text{LT}}$. An in situ experiment was undertaken to investigate the removal of iPrOH from a single crystal of 1-iPrOH at beamline 9.8 ($\lambda = 0.6710 \text{ Å}$), SRS, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, using a Bruker APEX II diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra nitrogen flow gas system. An initial unit cell determination confirmed the starting crystal as 1-iPrOH. The crystal was heated at 320 K for 60 mins and then at 340 K for 40 minutes, after which repeated determinations of the unit cell dimensions indicated that the crystal had transformed into a new form, later determined to be a new polymorph of 1, hereafter referred to as polymorph 1-B$^{\text{HT}}$. The crystal was cooled to 250 K, at which stage a unit cell determination indicated that the crystal remained as polymorph 1-B$^{\text{HT}}$. Finally, the crystal was cooled to 150 K, after which repeated determinations of the unit cell dimensions confirmed transformation into polymorph 1-B$^{\text{LT}}$. A complete data set was obtained and a crystal structure determination of polymorph 1-B$^{\text{LT}}$ was undertaken.
Table 3. Data Collection, Structure Solution, and Refinement Parameters for 1-A\textsuperscript{LT}, 1-A\textsuperscript{HT}, 1-B\textsuperscript{LT} and 3. Unit cell parameters for 1-B\textsuperscript{HT}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal colour</th>
<th>1-A\textsuperscript{LT}</th>
<th>1-A\textsuperscript{HT}\textsuperscript{[a]}</th>
<th>1-B\textsuperscript{LT}</th>
<th>1-B\textsuperscript{HT}\textsuperscript{[b]}</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm)</td>
<td>0.12x0.12x0.11</td>
<td>0.28x0.28x0.27</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
<td>0.40x0.35x0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group, Z</td>
<td>P-1, 2</td>
<td>P-1, 1</td>
<td>P2\textsubscript{1}/c, 4</td>
<td>P2\textsubscript{1}/c, 2</td>
<td>P2\textsubscript{1}/c, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>12.8731(1)</td>
<td>8.5215(2)</td>
<td>28.258(6)</td>
<td>22.75(1)</td>
<td>13.1680(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>14.8594(1)</td>
<td>12.9026(3)</td>
<td>8.4349(2)</td>
<td>8.573(3)</td>
<td>9.4003(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>16.8199(1)</td>
<td>14.8408(4)</td>
<td>24.343(5)</td>
<td>15.102(7)</td>
<td>12.9359(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>107.2410(1)</td>
<td>112.854(1)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>107.2690(1)</td>
<td>90.486(1)</td>
<td>111.445(2)</td>
<td>100.48(8)</td>
<td>107.137(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>105.8020(1)</td>
<td>109.325(1)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å\textsuperscript{3})</td>
<td>2695.6(5)</td>
<td>1405.34(6)</td>
<td>5400(2)</td>
<td>2897(2)</td>
<td>1530.1(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (Mg.m\textsuperscript{-3})</td>
<td>2.085</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.081</td>
<td>1.940</td>
<td>1.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength, λ (Å)</td>
<td>0.6710</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.6710</td>
<td>0.6710</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ(Mo-Kα) (mm\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>1.365</td>
<td>1.519</td>
<td>1.362</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω range (°)</td>
<td>1.47 – 25.82</td>
<td>1.50 – 27.54</td>
<td>2.32 – 23.84</td>
<td>1.62 – 27.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refls collected</td>
<td>25166</td>
<td>25544</td>
<td>49205</td>
<td>13661</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refls used in refinement, n</td>
<td>12242</td>
<td>6404</td>
<td>9765</td>
<td>3499</td>
<td>0.0232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.S. parameters, p</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of restraints, r</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 (F)\textsuperscript{[c]}</td>
<td>0.0466</td>
<td>0.0730</td>
<td>0.0863</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR2(F\textsuperscript{2})\textsuperscript{[c]}</td>
<td>0.1374</td>
<td>0.1945</td>
<td>0.2297</td>
<td>0.0754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(F\textsuperscript{2})\textsuperscript{[c]}</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{[a]} Crystal structure from ref 16b (therein identified simply as compound 1).

\textsuperscript{[b]} Only sufficient data were measured to determine unit cell dimensions and space group. Structure was determined from powder diffraction data (see below).

\textsuperscript{[c]} R1(F) = Σ|F\textsubscript{o} − F\textsubscript{c}|/Σ|F\textsubscript{o}|; wR\textsuperscript{2}(F\textsuperscript{2}) = Σ[w(F\textsubscript{o} – F\textsubscript{c})\textsuperscript{2}/ΣwF\textsubscript{o}\textsuperscript{2}]; S(F\textsuperscript{2}) = [Σ[w(F\textsubscript{o} – F\textsubscript{c})\textsuperscript{2}]/(n + r – p)]\textsuperscript{1/2}

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD)

Loss of MeOH from 1-MeOH. In situ PXRD data for this reaction have been previously reported\textsuperscript{[16b]} and are summarised in Figure S6.

Loss of alcohol from 1-EtOH and 1-iPrOH. The ethanol and isopropanol release from compounds 1-EtOH and 1-iPrOH, respectively, was monitored in situ using synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction (Figures 4 and 5). The white microcrystalline compounds 1-EtOH and 1-iPrOH were each loaded into a 0.7mm borosilicate capillary and X-ray diffraction data were collected (λ= 0.826741(1) Å) at beamline I11 at Diamond Light Source,\textsuperscript{[26]} equipped with a wide-angle (90 °) PSD detector comprising 18 Mythen-2 modules.\textsuperscript{[26b]} The temperature was increased using an Oxford Cryosystems Cryostream Plus from 295 K to 340 K for compound 1-EtOH and to 373 K for compound 1-iPrOH. A series of patterns were collected with 5s exposures at intervals of 20 mins. Rietveld refinement\textsuperscript{[18]} was performed for each pattern using the TOPAS program,\textsuperscript{[27]} revealing a fit to as few as one or as many as four phases (from 1-EtOH, 1-A\textsuperscript{HT}, 1-B\textsuperscript{HT},
and 3 or 1-PrOH, 1-BHT and 2) that are present at different stages of the two reaction sequences (Figures S7–S14 and Figures S15–S17). The impurity phase 3 was found in the material synthesised as 1-EtOH, but remains unchanged during the conversion of 1-EtOH to 1 by loss of EtOH. The crystal structure of 3 was established by single crystal X-ray diffraction following independent synthesis of this compound.

Structure determination of 1-BHT. Upon full conversion of 1-PrOH, through loss of PrOH, the final pattern measured after cooling to 295 K was found to contain predominantly 1-BHT with small amount of 2, for which the crystal structure is known. The pattern was indexed and a two-phase Pawley fit was conducted, resulting in unit cell parameters for 1-BHT that compare well to those determined by single crystal diffraction (Table 3). Structure determination of polymorph 1-BHT was then successfully accomplished by direct space methods using the TOPAS-academic program. The unit Ag₂(CO₂(CF₂)₂CF₃)₂(TMP) and half a molecule of TMP were introduced as rigid bodies. Each of these two groups is situated about a crystallographic inversion centre. A dummy atom was added to each rigid body and placed at the inversion centres of the unit cell. The rigid bodies were refined with rotational freedom. One thermal parameter was refined for all the atoms. Spherical-harmonic correction of the intensities for preferred orientation was applied in the final stage of refinement. A two-phase Rietveld refinement converged to R_{wp} = 0.14769, R'_{wp} = 0.30028 (R'_{wp} is the background subtracted R_{wp}) (Figure 10).

Figure 10. Observed (blue) and calculated (red) profiles and difference plot [(I_{obs} - I_{calc})] (grey) of the Rietveld refinement for X-ray powder diffraction pattern (2 ≤ 2θ ≤ 36 °, max. resolution 1.33Å) at 295 K (R_{wp} = 0.14769, R_{wp}' = 0.30028 gof = 17.132). Composition: 1-BHT (80(1) %) and 2 (20(1) %). Blue tick marks refer to peaks for 1-BHT and black tick marks refer to peaks for 2.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)
Samples of 1-MeOH, 1-EtOH and 1-PrOH were heated at 2 °C/min over the temperature range 25–400 °C under a flow of dry N₂ gas and monitored simultaneously by TGA and DSC measurements. Enthalpies for the loss of alcohol and the loss of TMP were calculated by integration of the endothermic peaks in the DSC traces.

Atomic force microscopy
Monitoring release of methanol by crystals of 1-MeOH. AFM measurements were conducted using an Asylum MFP-3D-SA instrument (Asylum Research, Santa Barbara, CA, USA). Crystals of compound 1-MeOH were transferred to a specimen disk (Figure S18) that had been coated with partially cured (approx. 45 s under a Blak-RayB100 bulb at a distance of 15 cm) UV-curable thiolene adhesive (NOA-81, Norland Products, Inc.). The optical cement was completely cured by exposing the specimen to UV radiation for another 2 min. The AFM experiments were run in tapping mode because of the softness of the surface of the crystal. The azimuthal orientation of the crystals with respect to the AFM image frame was identified using an optical microscope above the AFM cell. In situ AFM was performed in a PolyHeater heating stage (Asylum Research, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) equipped with a customized anodized aluminium insert using a Si₃N₄ cantilever tip with an aluminium reflector coating and a force constant of approximately 2 N/m.

Gas-phase Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)
Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopic experiments were conducted at 22 °C using a double-walled 10 cm glass IR absorption cell fitted with either KCl or KBr windows and a suspended sample container
To obtain time-dependent concentrations of methanol, ethanol and isopropanol vapours, gas-phase IR spectra in the region of 400-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) were acquired using a FTIR spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer Paragon 1000, resolution 1 cm\(^{-1}\), no apodization). The spectrometer was operated in the single-beam mode, that is, sample and background (empty cell) spectra were recorded separately. The area under the methanol C–O stretching absorption band was integrated from 950 to 1100 cm\(^{-1}\) after background subtraction and baseline correction to determine the partial pressure of methanol in accordance with prior calibration (Figures S20 and S21). For ethanol and isopropanol, the areas under the C–O stretching and C–H bending absorption bands, which overlap, were integrated from 950 to 1175 cm\(^{-1}\) and 900 to 1000 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, after background subtraction and baseline correction, to determine the partial pressures of ethanol and isopropanol in accordance with prior calibrations\(^{[14b]}\) (Figures S22-S25).

The absorption cell was loaded with a 50 mg sample of polycrystalline compound \textit{1-MeOH}, \textit{1-EtOH} or \textit{1-iPrOH}, and in a separate experiment with 100 mg \textit{1-MeOH}. The cell was connected to a vacuum line to remove all the H\(_2\)O and other gases present. Sixteen scans were accumulated over a period of 2 min for each IR spectrum to provide a satisfactory signal-to-noise ratio. Additional scans to improve accuracy further were not made since continuous evolution of methanol, ethanol or isopropanol vapour was expected. Measurements were continued until no increase in intensity was observed for the absorption bands monitored.

CCDC-1044595-1044598 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
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Molecular transport and chemical reactions in flexible crystals. Flexibility of fluoroalkyl sidechains and reversible coordination bond formation allows crystals of a coordination polymer to exhibit extensive polymorphism and transport small molecules within fluorous layers (shown in red).